



October 9, 2024

Governor Michael L. Parson  
P.O. Box 720  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

*Via electronic and physical mail*

Dear Governor Parson,

Thank you for exercising your clemency power and working diligently to clear the backlog of applications. Please use your clemency authority to its fullest extent during your remaining time in office. Clemency is a bedrock of the American criminal justice system – understood as a critical check on excessive sentencing and a mechanism of redemption since the ratification of the U.S. Constitution.

Unfortunately, throughout the country and in Missouri, excessive sentences have become the rule rather than the exception. Nationally, one in seven incarcerated people is serving a life or virtual life sentence. In Missouri, there are 3,326 people serving life or virtual life sentences of 50 years or longer.<sup>1</sup> There are also many serving sentences longer than 15 years with no hope for relief or a second chance outside of the clemency powers bestowed upon your office. We know that long sentences do not make us safer. They are a drain on public safety resources and fail to deter crime. It is the certainty of being caught and held accountable, not the severity of punishment, that deters criminal behavior.

Given the limited time remaining in your term, we encourage you to urge the Parole Board to review as many clemency petitions as they can and to recommend cases where the petitioning individual has shown a clear record of rehabilitation and would not be an elevated risk to public safety upon release.

We believe that clemency consideration should be open to everyone regardless of offense. Determinations should be made based on their record of rehabilitation and readiness for release at the time of review. We also believe that everyone whose clemency petition makes it before your desk should receive a fair and individualized assessment. However, we would like to flag for you two populations of incarcerated people for whom clemency is an urgent and appropriate consideration: people who were under 25 at the time of their offense and those who are currently over the age of 55.

Research has shown that, due to their still-developing brains, people under the age of 25 have diminished culpability and greater propensity for rehabilitation and change than is currently reflected in Missouri sentencing laws. Current release opportunities do not reflect the established

---

<sup>1</sup> The Sentencing Project (2024). U.S. Criminal Justice Data. <https://www.sentencingproject.org/research/us-criminal-justice-data/>.



1100 H Street NW, Suite 1000 • Washington, D.C. 20005



(202) 822-6700



www.famm.org

science on sentencing for emerging adults. Science has established that our brains do not fully develop until the age of 25. Because young people’s brains are still developing through their mid-20s, they are more impulsive and susceptible to peer influence than older adults, and less able to inhibit inappropriate behavior, manage intense emotions, and fully consider consequences.<sup>2</sup> Consequently, criminal activity peaks during the late teen years and early 20s.

Fortunately, people mature as they age – even those who have committed serious offenses. For example, Washington, D.C. allows judges to resentencing individuals who committed an offense before their 25<sup>th</sup> birthday once the individual has served 15 years of their sentence. As of June 2023, 195 people had been released under this expanded second look law, and only seven people, or 4 percent, had been rearrested.<sup>3</sup>

To that end, we encourage you to provide strong consideration to people seeking clemency who were under the age of 25 at the time of their offense. Not only would this be a righteous use of your clemency powers, but it would lessen the burden on the Missouri prison system.

In addition, research has shown that elderly individuals are the least likely to reoffend yet the most expensive to incarcerate. American prisons were not designed to serve as gerontological wards, and our communities are not best served by spending finite resources on incarcerating the elderly.

Older adults who return to the community after serving long sentences pose little public safety risk. One study found that only 1 percent of people released at age 55 or older after serving sentences for violent crimes were reincarcerated for any new crime within three years.<sup>4</sup> Similarly, in Maryland, 200 people were released from prison after a state court found the jury instructions used in their trials to be unconstitutional. Their average age when released was 64, and all had served 30 years or more. As of March 2024, six years plus after release, only 3.5 percent had been re-incarcerated.<sup>5</sup>

Clemency is one of the most powerful tools at your disposal. As a critical component of your gubernatorial powers and invaluable piece of Missouri’s criminal justice system, we hope that you will take our recommendations under strong consideration and use your clemency powers to their fullest extent during your remaining time in office. Data and evidence show that you can embrace public safety while leading with justice and mercy. These values are not mutually exclusive; rather, they are intrinsically linked.

---

<sup>2</sup> Arain, M., et al. (2013). Maturation of the adolescent brain. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 9, 449–461. <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S39776>.

<sup>3</sup> Testimony of Warren Allen at Hearing on B25-291 before the Committee on the Judiciary and Public Safety of the Council of the District of Columbia (2023). <https://www.sentencingproject.org/app/uploads/2023/06/WarrenAllen-Safer-Stronger-Amendment-Act-2023-Testimony.pdf>.

<sup>4</sup> Prescott, J.J., Pyle, B., and Starr, S.B. (2020). Understanding Violent-Crime Recidivism. *Notre Dame Law Review*, 95:4, 1643-1698. <http://ndlawreview.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/9.-Prescott-et-al..pdf>

<sup>5</sup> The Sentencing Project (2024). Second Look Laws Are an Effective Solution to Reconsider Extreme Sentences Amidst Failing Parole Systems. <https://www.sentencingproject.org/fact-sheet/second-look-laws-are-an-effective-solution-to-reconsider-extreme-sentences-amidst-failing-parole-systems/>.



Thank you for your time and consideration of our views. If you have questions or would like to discuss this further, please feel free to contact us at [mgoellner@famm.org](mailto:mgoellner@famm.org).

Sincerely,

Maria Goellner  
Director of State Policy

Matthew Charles  
Senior Policy Advisor



1100 H Street NW, Suite 1000 • Washington, D.C. 20005



(202) 822-6700



[www.famm.org](http://www.famm.org)

**Families for Justice Reform**