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Utah

Overall Grade for Utah

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Grade</th>
<th>Letter Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25/100</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program Grades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compassionate Release</th>
<th>Total Grade</th>
<th>Letter Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25/100</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Find all compassionate release resources on FAMM’s site →
famm.org
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Utah

Total Grade 25 /100
Letter Grade F

Eligibility Criteria

3/10 Clearly set out with understandable and measurable standards.
5/10 Generous or not unduly restrictive.
10/10 No categorical exclusions/everyone is eligible for consideration.

Extra credit: Terminal illness time-left-to-live provisions are reasonable and sufficiently long to permit the completion of the review and decision-making processes.

Engaging the Process

5/5 Clinical and other staff can identify potentially eligible individuals and initiate the process.
0/5 Incarcerated people, their loved ones, and advocates can initiate the process.
0/5 Corrections staff have an affirmative duty to identify incarcerated people eligible for compassionate release and take the steps necessary to begin the process.

Agency Policy Design

0/5 Agency rules exist for all stages of identification, initiation, assessment, and decision-making.
0/5 Agency rules are consistent with and/or complement the statute, are up to date, and internally consistent.
0/5 Rules provide clear guidance to reviewers and decision-makers about steps to take and standards to apply.

Procedures

2/5 Documentation and assessment are straightforward, lacking multiple or redundant reviews and authorizations.
0/5 Time frames for completing review and/or decision-making exist and are designed to keep the process moving along.

Extra credit: Expediting time frames exist for terminal cases.

Release Planning Support

0/5 Agencies provide comprehensive release planning.

Extra credit: Release planning includes helping the incarcerated person apply for benefits prior to release, including housing, Medicaid, Medicare, and/or veterans benefits.

0/5 Release planning begins early in the process.

Data Collection and Public Reporting

0/5 Agencies are obliged to gather, compile, and report release data to legislature.
0/5 Reporting is made available to the public via annual reports or other means.
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Right to Counsel and Appeals 0/10

0/5 Program allows counsel to represent people before decision-maker (i.e., parole board, commissioner, or court).

× Extra credit: Denials are appealable. 0

0/5 Individuals have the right to reapply should conditions change.

× Extra credit: Revocations are not used to return people to prison because their condition improves or goes into remission or because the individual outlives the prognosis. 0

The Numbers

Utah does not publish statistics on Compassionate Release grants. The Department of Corrections issued a press release in August 2020 stating that the Board of Pardons and Parole had granted 29 Compassionate Release requests in the first half of 2020. The agency did not respond to FAMM's request for information about additional releases in 2020 and any in 2019.

High and Low Marks

HIGH MARK

No one is categorically excluded from consideration for Compassionate Release.

LOW MARK

Overall, Utah's Compassionate Release program failed. Poorly designed and vague eligibility criteria; a near-complete lack of policy explaining who is responsible for documentation and assessment or how those steps occur; and a dearth of information about release planning, appeal, or reapplication rights leave FAMM unable to meaningfully evaluate the program. The state does not publish statistics.

- People may be eligible for Compassionate Release if they pose a "significantly reduced" risk to public safety due to (1) a medical or mental health disease, infirmity, or disability; or (2) "advancing age." No definitions exist for the eligibility standards, including what counts as "significantly reduced" risk or "advancing age."

- There is practically no agency policy or guide to procedures, making it impossible for us to grade most categories. The lack of information led to zeros for release planning, right to counsel, and availability of appeal or reapplication avenues.

* UTD stands for "Unable to Determine" and is graded zero. This is when there are no rules, guidelines, regulations, or other authority that FAMM could find addressing the graded category. For example, if there are no published provisions for release planning or telling an agency how it is to evaluate an incarcerated person's eligibility, that results in a zero UTD grade.

Read FAMM's full memo on Compassionate Release →
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